

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/512,035	10/20/2004	Gotz-Ekkehard Sapper	PAT-01023/BC1-0148	2224	
77224 Mary E. Golo	7590 09/10/200	9	EXAMINER		
Cantor Colbur	n LLP	NERANGIS, VICKEY MARIE			
201 W. Big Beaver Road Suite 1101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Troy, MI 4808	34		1796		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/10/2009	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

MARJORIE.ELLIS@BASF.COM Mgolota@CantorColburn.com usptopatmail@cantorcolburn.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/512,035	SAPPER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
VICKEY NERANGIS	1796		

	VICKEY NERANGIS	1796					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 24 August 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 ( periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO.						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	n.						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL	Jianas with 27 CER 44 27 must be	Clad within two worth	a of the date of				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filling a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		affect & and and the	DTOL 004)				
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment (i	PTOL-324).				
<ul> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the tollowing rejection(s):</li> <li>X newly proposed or amended claim(s) 18 and 20 would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancell the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) M will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>18</u> .							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>19</u> . Claim(s) rejected: 1-11 and 15-19.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:							
<i>N</i> ickey Nerangis/							
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1796					

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant argues that claim 1 does not include new matter because a composition that is storable for at least on year is inherently storable for at least of months and the examples include data showing being storable for at least 6 months. The examiner disagrees because according to applicant, any length of time earlier than 1 year could be inserted into the claim language, e.g., 4.33 months or 9.9628 months. Both of these amounts aribrary just as the insertion of 6 months is aribtrarily included in the instant claims. Furthermore, properties disclosed in examples cannot be applied to genus claims given that the properties are specific to the exemptified composition.